

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES,
LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SATICOY BAY, LLC SERIES 6709 BRICK
HOUSE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.2:15-cv-01852-APG-PAL

REVISED ORDER

This order is revised to reflect a corrected date to disclose experts pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) from March 21, 2016, to February 19, 2016.

The court conducted a hearing on January 26, 2016, on the parties' Joint Scheduling Order Pursuant to LR 26-1 Between Carrington and Saticoy (Dkt. #41) which requested special scheduling review. Maximilliano Couvillier appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, and Michael Bohn, Ashlie Surur, and Nicole Lovelock appeared on behalf of Defendants. The parties requested an extension of the discovery plan and scheduling order deadlines to delay discovery against Cactus Springs while the court considers a pending motion to dismiss cross claims which raises arguments based on NRS 38.310. Counsel indicate the only discovery needed is to obtain records and a custodian of records deposition from 2 or 3 entities. Given the modest amount of discovery needed the court advised counsel that a standard 180-day discovery plan would be entered, and that any delay in completing discovery to wait for a decision of the pending motion was done at the risk the cutoff would expire and an extension would not be allowed. Defendants Answer (Dkt. #18) was filed on October 22, 2015. Accordingly,

///

///

1. The parties' Joint Scheduling Order (Dkt. #41) is **DENIED**, and the following discovery plan and scheduling order dates shall apply:

- DATED this 22nd day of February, 2016.

2